

Select Bibliography – Qualitative Evidence Synthesis

2018

Compiled annually by Dr Andrew Booth, on behalf of the
Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group, for
the Sheffield ESQUIRE Course

Contents

Select Bibliography – Qualitative Evidence Synthesis	1
2018	1
Introductions	4
Books.....	4
General Systematic Reviews (with substantive QES)	4
Qualitative Evidence Synthesis-specific	4
Overviews	5
Choice of Methods	7
Bayesian Synthesis	7
Content Analysis.....	8
Critical Interpretive Synthesis	8
Framework Synthesis.....	8
Mega-Ethnography (i.e. overview of qualitative syntheses).....	8
Meta-Aggregation.....	8
Meta-Ethnography.....	9
Meta-Narrative Review	10
Meta-Study.....	10
Meta-Synthesis.....	10
Narrative Synthesis	10
Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA).....	11
Realist Synthesis	11
Reviews of Theory.....	11
Thematic Synthesis	12
Focusing the Question and Writing the Protocol	12
Literature Searching	12
Sampling and Dissemination Bias	14
Quality Assessment	14

Synthesis	16
Integration of Qual/Quan.....	17
Reporting and Recommendations	19

Introductions

Booth, A. (2017). Qualitative Evidence Synthesis. In Facey K (ed) *Patient Involvement in Health Technology Assessment* (pp. 187-199). Springer Singapore.

Carroll C (2017). Qualitative evidence synthesis to improve implementation of clinical guidelines. *BMJ*. Jan 16;356:j80. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j80.

Downe S. (2008) Metasynthesis: a guide to knitting smoke. *Evidence Based Midwifery* 6(1): 4-8.

Finlayson KW, Dixon A. Qualitative meta-synthesis: a guide for the novice. *Nurse Res*. 2008;15(2):59-71.

Flemming K The synthesis of qualitative research and evidence-based nursing *Evidence-Based Nursing* 2007;10:68-71.

Seers K. What is a qualitative synthesis? *Evidence-Based Nursing*. 2012 Oct;15(4):101.

Soilemezi D, Linceviciute S. Synthesizing Qualitative Research: Reflections and Lessons Learnt by Two New Reviewers. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 2018 Apr 11;17(1):160940691876801. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1609406918768014>

Tong A, Palmer S, Craig JC, Strippoli GF. A guide to reading and using systematic reviews of qualitative research. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2016 Jun;31(6):897-903. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfu354.

Books

General Systematic Reviews (with substantive QES)

Booth, A, Sutton AJ & Papaioannou, D (2016). *Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review*, 2nd ed. SAGE Publications Ltd.

Gough, D, Oliver, S, Thomas, J (2012) *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. London: Sage Publications Ltd, 304 pages. ISBN: 9781849201810.

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Pope C, Mays N, & Popay J (2007) *Synthesizing Qualitative and Quantitative Health Evidence: a Guide to Methods*. Maidenhead: Open University Press

Qualitative Evidence Synthesis-specific

Finfgeld-Connett, D. (2018) *A Guide to Qualitative Meta-synthesis*. London: Routledge, 2018.

Hannes K and Lockwood C. *Synthesizing Qualitative Research: Choosing the Right Approach* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK.

Major, C. H., & Savin-Baden, M. (2012). *An introduction to qualitative research synthesis: Managing the information explosion in social science research*. London: Routledge.

Noblit, GW; Hare, R D (1988) *Meta-ethnography: synthesising qualitative studies* Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA, 1988. 88pp

Paterson, B. L., Thorne, S. E., Canam, C., & Jillings, C. (2001). *Meta-study of Qualitative Health Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Saini, M., & Shlonsky, A. (2012). *Systematic Synthesis of Qualitative Research*. OUP USA.

Sandelowski M, Barroso J (2007) *Handbook for Synthesizing Qualitative Research*. New York: Springer.

Suri H (2013) *Towards Methodologically Inclusive Research Syntheses: Expanding possibilities* (Routledge Research in Education) [Hardcover].

Overviews

Barnett-Page, E & Thomas, J (2009) Methods for the synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. NCRM Working Paper. NCRM. <http://eprints.ncrm.ac.uk/690/>

Barnett-Page E, Thomas J. Methods for the synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2009 Aug 11;9:59. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2288/9/59>

Booth, A., Carroll, C., Iltott, I., Low, L. L., & Cooper, K. (2013). Desperately Seeking Dissonance Identifying the Disconfirming Case in Qualitative Evidence Synthesis. *Qualitative health research*, 23(1), 126-141.

Britten, N., Garside, R., Pope, C., et al. (2017). Asking more of qualitative synthesis: A response to Sally Thorne. *Qualitative Health Research*, 1049732317709010.

Dalton J, Booth A, Noyes J, Sowden AJ. Potential value of systematic reviews of qualitative evidence in informing user-centered health and social care: findings from a descriptive overview. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2017 Apr 24. pii: S0895-4356(16)30303-1. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.020.

Dixon-Woods, M et al. (2004) *Integrative approaches to qualitative and quantitative evidence*. Health Development Agency, London, 44pp http://www.nice.org.uk/niceMedia/pdf/Integrative_approaches_evidence.pdf

Dixon-Woods M, Bonas S, Booth A, Jones DR, Miller T, Shaw RL, Smith J, Sutton A, Young B: How can systematic reviews incorporate qualitative research? A critical perspective. *Qual Res* 2006, 6:27-44.

Gallacher K, Jani B, Morrison D, Macdonald S, Blane D, Erwin P, May CR, Montori VM, Eton DT, Smith F, Batty GD, Mair FS; International Minimally Disruptive Medicine Workgroup (2013). Qualitative systematic reviews of treatment burden in stroke, heart failure and diabetes - methodological challenges and solutions. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. Jan 28;13:10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-13-10.

Gough, D. (2015). Qualitative and mixed methods in systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*, 4(1). doi:10.1186/s13643-015-0151-y

Hannes K, Booth A, Harris J, Noyes J (2013). Celebrating methodological challenges and changes: reflecting on the emergence and importance of the role of qualitative evidence in Cochrane reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2:84. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-2-84.

Hannes, K. and Harden, A. (2011), Multi-context versus context-specific qualitative evidence syntheses: combining the best of both. *Res. Synth. Method*, 2: 271–278.

Hannes, K. and Macaitis K (2012) A move to more systematic and transparent approaches in qualitative evidence synthesis: update on a review of published papers. *Qualitative Research* 12: 402-442

Hansen HP, Draborg E, Kristensen FB (2011). Exploring qualitative research synthesis: the role of patients' perspectives in health policy design and decision making. *Patient*. 4(3):143-52.

Kelly M, Ellaway RH, Reid H, Ganshorn H, Yardley S, Bennett D, Dornan T. Considering axiological integrity: a methodological analysis of qualitative evidence syntheses, and its implications for health professions education. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2018 May 14. doi: 10.1007/s10459-018-9829-y.

Lachal, J., Revah-Levy, A., Orri, M., & Moro, M. R. (2017). Metasynthesis: an original method to synthesize qualitative literature in psychiatry. *Front Psychiatry*. 2017 Dec 1;8:269. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00269.

Levitt HM. How to conduct a qualitative meta-analysis: Tailoring methods to enhance methodological integrity. *Psychother Res*. 2018 May;28(3):367-378. doi: 10.1080/10503307.2018.1447708.

Lorenc, T; Pearson, M; Jamal, F; et al; (2012) The role of systematic reviews of qualitative evidence in evaluating interventions: a case study *Research Synthesis Methods*, 3 (1). 1-10.

Ludvigsen, M. S., Hall, E. O., Meyer, G., et al. (2015). Using Sandelowski and Barroso's Metasynthesis Method in Advancing Qualitative Evidence. *Qualitative health research*, 1049732315576493.

Malterud K. The Impact of Evidence-Based Medicine on Qualitative Metasynthesis: Benefits to be Harvested and Warnings to be Given. *Qual Health Res*. 2018 Aug 30:1049732318795864. doi: 10.1177/1049732318795864.

Newton, B. J., Rothlingova, Z., Gutteridge, R., et al. (2012). No room for reflexivity? Critical reflections following a systematic review of qualitative research. *Journal of health psychology*, 17(6), 866-885.

Noyes J, Booth A, Cargo M, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Guidance Series - paper 1: Introduction, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.09.025.

Noyes J, Popay J, Pearson A, Hannes K, Booth A. Chapter 20: Qualitative research and Cochrane reviews. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 5.0.1 [updated September 2008]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
http://www.igh.org/Cochrane/tools/Ch20_Qualitative.pdf

Pasque PA, Lechuga VM (2016). Translating Qualitative Data into Policy Recommendations: Meta-analyses for Qualitative Researchers. In: *Qualitative Inquiry in Higher Education Organization and Policy Research* Nov 25 (pp. 85-96). Routledge.

Petticrew, M., Anderson, L., Elder, R., et al. (2013). Complex interventions and their implications for systematic reviews: a pragmatic approach. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 66(11), 1209-1214.

Petticrew, M. (2015). Time to rethink the systematic review catechism? Moving from 'what works' to 'what happens'. *Systematic reviews*, 4(1), 36.

Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A et al. (2006) *Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews: a Product from the ESRC Methods Programme*.

Ring N, Jepson R, Ritchie K. Methods of synthesizing qualitative research studies for health technology assessment. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2011 Oct;27(4):384-90.

Siddaway AP, Wood AM, Hedges LV. How to Do a Systematic Review: A Best Practice Guide for Conducting and Reporting Narrative Reviews, Meta-Analyses, and Meta-Syntheses. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2018 Aug 8. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102803.

Thorne, S. E. (2015). Qualitative metasynthesis: a technical exercise or a source of new knowledge? *Psycho-Oncology*, 24(11), 1347–1348. doi:10.1002/pon.3944

Thorne, S. (2017). Metasynthetic madness: What kind of monster have we created? *Qualitative health research*, 27(1), 3-12.

Wolgemuth, J. R., Hicks, T., & Agosto, V. (2017). Unpacking Assumptions in Research Synthesis: A Critical Construct Synthesis Approach. *Educational Researcher*, 46(3), 131-139.

Choice of Methods

Booth A, Noyes J, Flemming K, et al (2018). Structured methodology review identified seven (RETREAT) criteria for selecting qualitative evidence synthesis approaches. *J Clin Epidemiol*, 99:41-52. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.03.003.

Booth, A., Noyes, J., Flemming, K., et al. (2016). Guidance on choosing qualitative evidence synthesis methods for use in health technology assessments of complex interventions. Europe: INTEGRATE-HTA <http://www.integrate-hta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Guidance-on-choosing-qualitative-evidence-synthesis-methods-for-use-in-HTA-of-complex-interventions.pdf>

Davey, S., Davey, A., & Singh, J. V. (2015). Options for a health system researcher to choose in Meta Review (MR) approaches-Meta Narrative (MN) and Meta Triangulation (MT). *Indian journal of community medicine: official publication of Indian Association of Preventive & Social Medicine*, 40(3), 152.

Dixon-Woods M, Agarwal S, Jones D, Young B, Sutton A (2005). Synthesising qualitative and quantitative evidence: a review of possible methods. *J Health Serv Res Pol*, 10(1):45-53

Hannes K and Lockwood C. *Synthesizing Qualitative Research: Choosing the Right Approach* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK.

Kastner, M., Antony, J., Soobiah, C., et al. (2016). Conceptual recommendations for selecting the most appropriate knowledge synthesis method to answer research questions related to complex evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 73, 43-49.

Lucas P, Baird J, Arai L, Law C, Roberts H (2007) Worked examples of alternative methods for the synthesis of qualitative & quantitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 4, 1-7.

Snilstveit, B., Oliver, S., & Vojtkova, M. (2012). Narrative approaches to systematic review and synthesis of evidence for international development policy and practice. *Journal of Development Effectiveness*, 4(3), 409-429.

Bayesian Synthesis

Crandell, J. L., Voils, C. I. and Sandelowski, M. (2011) Bayesian Approaches to the Synthesis of Qualitative and Quantitative Research Findings, in *Synthesizing Qualitative Research: Choosing the Right Approach* (eds K. Hannes and C. Lockwood), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK. doi: 10.1002/9781119959847.ch7

Crandell JL, Voils CI, Chang Y, Sandelowski M. Bayesian data augmentation methods for the synthesis of qualitative and quantitative research findings. *Qual Quant*. 2011 Apr;45(3):653-669

Content Analysis

Finfgeld-Connett, D. (2013). Use of content analysis to conduct knowledge-building and theory-generating qualitative systematic reviews. *Qualitative Research*, 14(3), 341–352. doi:10.1177/1468794113481790

Critical Interpretive Synthesis

Dixon-Woods M, Cavers D, Agarwal S, et al (2006) Conducting a critical interpretive synthesis of the literature on access to healthcare by vulnerable groups. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 6(35).

Edwards J, Kaimal G. Using meta-synthesis to support application of qualitative methods findings in practice: A discussion of meta-ethnography, narrative synthesis, and critical interpretive synthesis. *The Arts in Psychotherapy* 2016. doi:10.1016/j.aip.2016.07.003.

Flemming K. Synthesis of quantitative and qualitative research: an example using Critical Interpretive Synthesis. *J Adv Nurs*. 2010 Jan;66(1):201-17.

McFerran KS, Hense C, Medcalf L, Murphy M, Fairchild R. Integrating Emotions into the Critical Interpretive Synthesis. *Qual Health Res*. 2017 Jan;27(1):13-23. Epub 2016 Apr 6.

Morrison LG, Yardley L, Powell J, Michie S. What design features are used in effective e-health interventions? A review using techniques from Critical Interpretive Synthesis. *Telemed J E Health*. 2012 Mar;18(2):137-44.

Framework Synthesis

Booth A, Carroll C. How to build up the actionable knowledge base: the role of 'best fit' framework synthesis for studies of improvement in healthcare. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2015 Nov;24(11):700-8. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2014-003642.

Brunton, V. J. (2017). *Innovation in systematic review methods: successive developments in framework synthesis* (Doctoral dissertation). UCL Institute of Education.

Carroll C, Booth A, Cooper K. A worked example of "best fit" framework synthesis: a systematic review of views concerning the taking of some potential chemopreventive agents. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2011 Mar 16;11:29.

Dixon-Woods M. Using framework-based synthesis for conducting reviews of qualitative studies. *BMC Med*. 2011 Apr 14;9:39.

Carroll, C., Booth, A., Leaviss, J., & Rick, J. (2013). "Best fit" framework synthesis: refining the method. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 13(1), 1-16.

Mega-Ethnography (i.e. overview of qualitative syntheses)

Toye F, Seers K, Hannink E, Barker K. A mega-ethnography of eleven qualitative evidence syntheses exploring the experience of living with chronic non-malignant pain. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2017 Aug 1;17(1). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12874-017-0392-7>

Meta-Aggregation

Hannes, K., & Lockwood, C. (2011). Pragmatism as the philosophical foundation for the Joanna Briggs meta-aggregative approach to qualitative evidence synthesis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 67(7), 1632-1642.

Hannes, K., Petry, K., & Heyvaert, M. (2018). The meta-aggregative approach to qualitative evidence synthesis: a worked example on experiences of pupils with special educational needs in inclusive education. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 2018 May 27;41(3):291-305.

Lockwood, C., Munn, Z., & Porritt, K. (2015). Qualitative research synthesis: methodological guidance for systematic reviewers utilizing meta-aggregation. *International journal of evidence-based healthcare*, 13(3), 179-187.

Lockwood, C., & Pearson, A. (2013). *A comparison of meta-aggregation and meta-ethnography as qualitative review methods*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Pearson A. Meta-aggregation: emergence of the "missing" piece in qualitative synthesis. *JBISRIR* 2016 Dec;14(12):2-3. doi: 10.11124/JBISRIR-2016-003257.

Meta-Ethnography

Atkins S, et al. Conducting a meta-ethnography of qualitative literature: lessons learnt. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008 Apr 16;8:21.

Britten, N et al (2002) Using meta ethnography to synthesise qualitative research: a worked example. *J.Hlth Serv Res & Policy*, 7(4) 209-15.

Campbell R, et al (2011). Evaluating meta-ethnography: systematic analysis and synthesis of qualitative research. *Health Technol Assess*. 15(43):1-164.

Edwards J, Kaimal G. Using meta-synthesis to support application of qualitative methods findings in practice: A discussion of meta-ethnography, narrative synthesis, and critical interpretive synthesis. *The Arts in Psychotherapy* 2016. doi:10.1016/j.aip.2016.07.003.

France, E. F., Ring, N., Noyes, J., Maxwell, M., Jepson, R., Duncan, E., ... & Uny, I. (2015). Protocol-developing meta-ethnography reporting guidelines (eMERGe). *BMC medical research methodology*, 15(1), 103.

France, E. F., Ring, N., Thomas, R., Noyes, J., Maxwell, M., & Jepson, R. (2014). A methodological systematic review of what's wrong with meta-ethnography reporting. *BMC medical research methodology*, 14(1), 119.

France, E. F., Wells, M., Lang, H., & Williams, B. (2016). Why, when and how to update a meta-ethnography qualitative synthesis. *Systematic Reviews*, 5(1), 1.

Lee RP, Hart RI, Watson RM, and Rapley T. Qualitative synthesis in practice: some pragmatics of meta-ethnography. *Qualitative Research* 15(3), 334-350. doi:10.1177/1468794114524221

Lockwood, C., & Pearson, A. (2013). *A comparison of meta-aggregation and meta-ethnography as qualitative review methods*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Malpass A, Shaw A, Sharp D, Walter F, Feder G, Ridd M, Kessler D (2009), 'Medication career' or 'Moral career'? The two sides of managing antidepressants: A meta-ethnography of patients' experience of antidepressants, *Social Science & Medicine*, 68, (1): 154-168.

Melendez-Torres, G. J., Grant, S., & Bonell, C. (2015). A systematic review and critical appraisal of qualitative metasynthetic practice in public health to develop a taxonomy of operations of reciprocal translation. *Res. Syn. Meth.*, 6(4), 357-371. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1161

Noblit, GW; Hare, R D (1988) *Meta-ethnography: synthesising qualitative studies* Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA, 1988. 88pp

Pilkington, H. (2018). Can Qualitative Data Speak Beyond the Individual Case? Employing Meta-Ethnography for the Synthesis of Findings in Transnational Research Projects. In *Understanding Youth Participation Across Europe* (pp. 101-121). Palgrave Macmillan, London.

Toye, F., Seers, K., Allcock, N., Briggs, M., Carr, E., & Barker, K. (2014). Meta-ethnography 25 years on: challenges and insights for synthesising a large number of qualitative studies. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 14(1), 80.

Meta-Narrative Review

Greenhalgh T, Robert G, Macfarlane F, Bate P, Kyriakidou O, Peacock R. Storylines of research in diffusion of innovation: a meta-narrative approach to systematic review. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005 Jul;61(2):417-30.

Jamal, F., Bertotti, M., Lorenc, T., & Harden, A. (2013). Reviewing conceptualisations of community: reflections on a meta-narrative approach. *Qualitative Research*, 15(3), 314–333. doi:10.1177/1468794113509262

Otte-Trojel T, Wong G. Going Beyond Systematic Reviews: Realist and Meta-Narrative Reviews. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2016; 222:275-87.

Meta-Study

Frost J, Garside R, Cooper C, Britten N. Meta-Study as Diagnostic: Toward Content Over Form in Qualitative Synthesis. *Qual Health Res*. 2016 Feb;26(3):307-19. doi: 10.1177/1049732315619381. Epub 2015 Dec 14. Review.

Meta-Synthesis

Najafi, F., Monjazebi, F., & Nikpeyma, N. (2014). Meta-synthesis of qualitative research in nursing: a literature review. *Journal of Qualitative Research in Health Sciences*, 2(4), 320-335.

O'Connell, R.; Downe, S. A metasynthesis of midwives' experience of hospital practice in publicly funded settings: Compliance, resistance and authenticity. *Health* 13; 6 (2009) 589-610.

Salter K, Hellings C, Foley N, Teasell R. J (2008). The experience of living with stroke: a qualitative meta-synthesis. *Rehabil Med*. 40(8):595-602.

Narrative Synthesis

Arai L, Britten N, Popay J, Roberts H, Petticrew M, Rodgers M and Sowden A (2007) Testing methodological developments in the conduct of narrative synthesis: a demonstration review of research on the implementation of smoke alarm interventions, *Evidence & policy* 3, 361-83

Edwards J, Kaimal G. Using meta-synthesis to support application of qualitative methods findings in practice: A discussion of meta-ethnography, narrative synthesis, and critical interpretive synthesis. *The Arts in Psychotherapy* 2016. doi:10.1016/j.aip.2016.07.003.

McArthur, A., Klugárová, J., Yan, H., & Florescu, S. (2015). Innovations in the systematic review of text and opinion. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 13(3), 188–195.

doi:10.1097/xeb.0000000000000060

Popay J, Roberts H Sowden A, Petticrew M, Arai L, Rodgers M, Britten N (2006) *Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic Reviews. A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme*. Version 1. Swindon: ESRC, 92 pages.

Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)

Kahwati, L., Jacobs, S., Kane, H., Lewis, M., Viswanathan, M., & Golin, C. E. (2016). Using qualitative comparative analysis in a systematic review of a complex intervention. *Syst Rev*, 5(1). doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0256-y

Thomas, J., O'Mara-Eves, A., & Brunton, G. (2014). Using qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) in systematic reviews of complex interventions: a worked example. *Systematic Reviews*, 3(1), 1-14.

Realist Synthesis

Otte-Trojel T, Wong G. Going Beyond Systematic Reviews: Realist and

Meta-Narrative Reviews. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2016;222:275-87. PubMed PMID: 27198109.

Pawson R et al (2005) Realist review--a new method of systematic review designed for complex policy interventions. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 10 Suppl 1:21-34.

Pawson R *Evidence-Based Policy: A Realist Perspective* London, Sage, 2006

<http://www.leeds.ac.uk/sociology/realistsynthesis/>

Randell, R., Greenhalgh, J., & Dowding, D. (2015). Using realist reviews to understand how health IT works, for whom, and in what circumstances. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 22(e1), e216-e217.

Rycroft-Malone J, McCormack B, Hutchinson AM, Decorby K, Bucknall TK, Kent B, Schultz A, Snelgrove-Clarke E, Stetler CB, Titler M, Wallin L, Wilson V. Realist synthesis: illustrating the method for implementation research. *Implement Sci*. 2012 Apr 19;7(1):33. <http://www.implementationscience.com/content/7/1/33/abstract>

Reviews of Theory

Campbell, M., Egan, M., Lorenc, T., Bond, L., Popham, F., Fenton, C., & Benzeval, M. (2014). Considering methodological options for reviews of theory: illustrated by a review of theories linking income and health.

Systematic reviews, 3(1), 1-11.

Clark, A. M. (2013). What are the components of complex interventions in healthcare? Theorizing approaches to parts, powers and the whole intervention. *Social Science & Medicine*, 93, 185–193.

doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.03.035

Finfgeld-Connett, D. (2015). The Future of Theory-Generating Meta-Synthesis Research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 26(3), 291–293. doi:10.1177/1049732315616628

Kühne F, Ehmcke R, Härter M, Kriston L. Conceptual decomposition of complex health care interventions for evidence synthesis: a literature review. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2015 Oct;21(5):817-23. doi: 10.1111/jep.12384.

Noyes, J., Hendry, M., Booth, A., Chandler, J., Lewin, S., Glenton, C., & Garside, R. (2016). Current use was established and Cochrane guidance on selection of social theories for systematic reviews of complex interventions was developed. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 75, 78–92. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.12.009

Tricco, A. C., Antony, J., Soobiah, C., Kastner, M., Cogo, E., MacDonald, H., ... & Straus, S. E. (2016). Knowledge synthesis methods for generating or refining theory: a scoping review reveals that little guidance is available. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 73, 36-42.

Thematic Synthesis

Thomas J, & Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008 Jul 10;8:45 <http://ukpmc.ac.uk/articlerender.cgi?artid=1593387>

Focusing the Question and Writing the Protocol

Booth, A. (2016). Searching for qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: a structured methodological review. *Syst Rev*, 5(1). doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0249-x

Butler, A., Hall, H., & Copnell, B. (2016). A Guide to Writing a Qualitative Systematic Review Protocol to Enhance Evidence-Based Practice in Nursing and Health Care. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 13(3), 241–249. doi:10.1111/wvn.12134

Harris JL, Booth A, Cargo M, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Guidance series - paper 6: Methods for question formulation, searching and protocol development for qualitative evidence synthesis, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.10.023.

Sigurdson C, Woodgate R. Designing a Metasynthesis Study in Pediatric Oncology Nursing Research. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*. 2015 Nov;32(6):360-8.

Literature Searching

Barroso J, Gallup CJ, Sandelowski M, Meynell J, Pearce PF, Collins LJ: The challenge of searching for and retrieving qualitative studies. *Western Journal of Nursing Research* 2003, **25**:153-178.

Bates, M. J. (1989). The design of browsing and berrypicking techniques for online search interface. *Online Review*, **13**, 407-424.

Booth, A. (2016). Searching for qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: a structured methodological review. *Syst Rev*, 5(1). doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0249-x

Booth, A., & Carroll, C. (2015). Systematic searching for theory to inform systematic reviews: is it feasible? Is it desirable? *Health Info Libr J*, 32(3), 220–235. doi:10.1111/hir.12108

Booth A, Harris J, Croot E, Springett J, Campbell F, Wilkins E (2013). Towards a methodology for cluster searching to provide conceptual and contextual "richness" for systematic reviews of complex interventions: case study (CLUSTER). *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2013 Sep 28;13:118. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-13-118.

Brunton G, Stansfield C, Thomas J (2012) In Gough, D, Oliver, S, Thomas, J (2012) *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. London: Sage, 107-135.

Cooke A, Smith D, Booth A (2012). Beyond PICO: The SPIDER Tool for Qualitative Evidence Synthesis. *Qual Health Res.* 22 (10): 1435 -1443.

Cooper C, Lovell R, Husk K, Booth A, Garside R. Supplementary search methods were more effective and offered better value than bibliographic database searching: A case study from public health and environmental enhancement. *Res Synth Methods.* 2018 Jun;9(2):195-223. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1286.

DeJean, D., Giacomini, M., Simeonov, D., & Smith, A. (2016). Finding Qualitative Research Evidence for Health Technology Assessment. *Qualitative Health Research*, 26(10), 1307–1317. doi:10.1177/1049732316644429

Delaney, A., & Tamás, P. A. (2017). Searching for evidence or approval? A commentary on database search in systematic reviews and alternative information retrieval methodologies. *Research synthesis methods.*

El Sherif, R., Pluye, P., Gore, G., et al. (2016). Performance of a mixed filter to identify relevant studies for mixed studies reviews. *Journal of the Medical Library Association: JMLA*, 104(1), 47–51. doi:10.3163/1536-5050.104.1.007

Flemming K, Briggs M. Electronic searching to locate qualitative research: evaluation of three strategies. *J Adv Nurs.* 2007 Jan;57(1):95-100.

Gorecki CA, Brown JM, Briggs M, Nixon J. Evaluation of five search strategies in retrieving qualitative patient-reported electronic data on the impact of pressure ulcers on quality of life. *J Adv Nurs.* 2010 Mar;66(3):645-52.

Greenhalgh T, Peacock R. Effectiveness and efficiency of search methods in systematic reviews of complex evidence: audit of primary sources. *BMJ.* 2005; 331(7524):1064-5.

Harris JL, Booth A, Cargo M, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Guidance series - paper 6: Methods for question formulation, searching and protocol development for qualitative evidence synthesis, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.10.023.

McKibbin KA, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB. Developing optimal search strategies for retrieving qualitative studies in PsycINFO. *Eval Health Prof.* 2006 Dec;29(4):440-54.

Methley, A. M., Campbell, S., Chew-Graham, C., et al. (2014). PICO, PICOS and SPIDER: a comparison study of specificity and sensitivity in three search tools for qualitative systematic reviews. *BMC health services research*, 14(1), 579.

Noyes, J., Hendry, M., Lewin, S., et al. (2016). Qualitative “trial-sibling” studies and “unrelated” qualitative studies contributed to complex intervention reviews. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 74, 133–143. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.009

Papaioannou D, Sutton A, Carroll C, Booth A, Wong R. Literature searching for social science systematic reviews: consideration of a range of search techniques. *Health Info Libr J.* 2010 Jun;27(2):114-22

Pearson M, Moxham T, Ashton K (2011). Effectiveness of Search Strategies for Qualitative Research About Barriers and Facilitators of Program Delivery. *Eval Health Prof.* 34(3):297-308.

Selva A, Solà I, Zhang Y, et al. Development and use of a content search strategy for retrieving studies on patients' views and preferences. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes.* 2017 Aug 30;15(1):126.

Shaw RL, Booth A, Sutton AJ et al (2004). Finding qualitative research: an evaluation of search strategies. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 4(1):5.

Stansfield C, Kavanagh J, Rees R, et al (2012) The selection of search sources influences the findings of a systematic review of people's views: a case study in public health. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 12(1):55.

Subirana M, Sola I, Garcia JM et al (2005). A nursing qualitative systematic review required MEDLINE and CINAHL for study identification. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 58(1):20-5

Walters LA, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB; Hedges Team. Developing optimal search strategies for retrieving clinically relevant qualitative studies in EMBASE. *Qual Health Res.* 2006 Jan;16(1):162-8.

Wilczynski NL, Marks S, Haynes RB. Search strategies for identifying qualitative studies in CINAHL. *Qual Health Res.* 2007 May;17(5):705-10.

Wong SSL, Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB. Developing optimal search strategies for detecting clinically relevant qualitative studies in Medline. *Medinfo* 2004;311-314.

Wright, K., Golder, S., & Lewis-Light, K. (2015). What value is the CINAHL database when searching for systematic reviews of qualitative studies? *Syst Rev*, 4(1). doi:10.1186/s13643-015-0069-4

Sampling and Dissemination Bias

Benoot, C., Hannes, K., & Bilsen, J. (2016). The use of purposeful sampling in a qualitative evidence synthesis: A worked example on sexual adjustment to a cancer trajectory. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 16(1). doi:10.1186/s12874-016-0114-6

Booth A, Lewin S, Glenton C, et al; GRADE-CERQual Coordinating Team. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 7: understanding the potential impacts of dissemination bias. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Jan 25;13(Suppl 1):12. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0694-5.

Finfgeld-Connett, D., & Johnson, E. D. (2018). Data Collection and Sampling. In *A Guide to Qualitative Meta-synthesis* (pp. 18-30). London: Routledge.

Suri, H (2011) Purposeful Sampling in Qualitative Research Synthesis, *Qualitative Research Journal*, 11 (2): 63 – 75

Toews I, Booth A, Berg RC, et al. Further exploration of dissemination bias in qualitative research required to facilitate assessment within qualitative evidence syntheses. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2017 Apr 20. pii: S0895-4356(16)30570-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.010.

Quality Assessment

Attree, P & Milton, B (2006) Critically appraising qualitative research for systematic reviews: defusing the methodological cluster bombs. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*, 2 (1): 109-126.

Barbour, RS (2000) Checklists for improving rigour in qualitative research: a case of the tail wagging the dog?, *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 9 (2): 179–186.

Boeije HR, van Wesel F, Alisic E (2011). Making a difference: towards a method for weighing the evidence in a qualitative synthesis. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 17(4):657-63.

Cambon B, Vorilhon P, Michel L, et al. Quality of qualitative studies centred on patients in family practice: a systematic review. *Fam Pract.* 2016 Dec;33(6):580-587. Epub 2016 Sep 12. Review.

Cargo M, Harris J, Pantoja T, Booth A, et al. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Guidance Paper 3: Methods for Assessing Evidence on Intervention Implementation. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 2017 Dec 6. pii: S0895-4356(17)31334-3. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.028.

Carroll, C., & Booth, A. (2014). Quality assessment of qualitative evidence for systematic review and synthesis: Is it meaningful, and if so, how should it be performed? *Research Synthesis Methods*. 6(2), 149-154.

Carroll C, Booth A, Lloyd-Jones M (2012). Should We Exclude Inadequately Reported Studies From Qualitative Systematic Reviews? An Evaluation of Sensitivity Analyses in Two Case Study Reviews. *Qual Health Res*. 22(10), 1425-1434.

Crowe M, Sheppard L (2011). A general critical appraisal tool: an evaluation of construct validity. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 48(12):1505-16. Epub 2011 Jul 30.

Daly J et al (2007) A hierarchy of evidence for assessing qualitative health research. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 60(1):43-9. Epub 2006 Sep 28.

Delefosse, M. S., Bruchez, C., Gavin, A., & Stephen, S. L. (2015, April). Diversity of the Quality Criteria in Qualitative Research in the Health Sciences: Lessons from a Lexicometric Analysis Composed of 133 Guidelines. In *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research* (Vol. 16, No. 2).

Dixon-Woods, M. et al (2007) Appraising qualitative research for inclusion in systematic reviews: a quantitative and qualitative comparison of three methods *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy*, 12 (1): 42-47.

Eakin, J & Mykhalovskiy, E (2003). Reframing the evaluation of qualitative research: Reflections on a review of assessment guidelines in the health sciences. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 9(2), 187-194.

Garside, R. (2014). Should we appraise the quality of qualitative research reports for systematic reviews, and if so, how?. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 27(1), 67-79.

Hannes K, Lockwood C, Pearson A (2010). A comparative analysis of three online appraisal instruments' ability to assess validity in qualitative research. *Qual Health Res*. 20(12):1736-43.

Harden A, Gough D (2012) Quality and relevance appraisal. In Gough, D, Oliver, S, Thomas, J (2012) *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. London: Sage Publications Ltd, pages 153-179. ISBN: 9781849201810

Majid U, Vanstone M. Appraising Qualitative Research for Evidence Syntheses: A Compendium of Quality Appraisal Tools. *Qual Health Res*. 2018 Jul 26:1049732318785358. doi: 10.1177/1049732318785358.

Noyes J, Booth A, Flemming K, et al, Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Guidance paper 2: Methods for assessing methodological limitations, data extraction and synthesis, and confidence in synthesized qualitative findings, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.06.020.

Munthe-Kaas H, Bohren MA, Glenton C, Lewin S, Noyes J, Tunçalp Ö, Booth A, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 3: how to assess methodological limitations. *Implement Sci*. 2018 Jan 25;13(Suppl 1):9. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0690-9.

Santiago-Delefosse, M., Bruchez, C., Gavin, A., et al. (2015). Complexity of the Paradigms Present in Quality Criteria of Qualitative Research Grids. *SAGE Open*, 5(4), 2158244015621350.

Santiago-Delefosse, M., Gavin, A., Bruchez, C., et al. (2016). Quality of qualitative research in the health sciences: Analysis of the common criteria present in 58 assessment guidelines by expert users. *Social Science & Medicine*, 148, 142–151. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.11.007

Toye, F., Seers, K., Allcock, N., et al. (2013). 'Trying to pin down jelly'-exploring intuitive processes in quality assessment for meta-ethnography. *BMC medical research methodology*, 13(1), 46.

Verhage, A., & Boels, D. (2016). Critical appraisal of mixed methods research studies in a systematic scoping review on plural policing: assessing the impact of excluding inadequately reported studies by means of a sensitivity analysis. *Quality & Quantity*, 1-20. doi:10.1007/s11135-016-0345-y

Synthesis

Bayliss, K., Starling, B., Raza, K., et al. (2016). Patient involvement in a qualitative meta-synthesis: lessons learnt. *Research Involvement and Engagement*, 2(1). doi:10.1186/s40900-016-0032-0

Dixon-Woods M, Booth A. & Sutton AJ (2007) Synthesizing qualitative research: a review of published reports *Qualitative Research*, 7 (3): 375-422

Finfgeld-Connett, D., & Johnson, E. D. (2018). Data Collection and Sampling. In *A Guide to Qualitative Meta-synthesis* (pp. 18-30). London: Routledge.

Finfgeld-Connett, D. (2018). Data Extraction, Analysis, and Theory Generation. In *A Guide to Qualitative Meta-synthesis* (pp. 31-61). Routledge.

Harris, J., Croot, L., Thompson, J., & Springett, J. (2015). How stakeholder participation can contribute to systematic reviews of complex interventions. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 70(2), 207–214. doi:10.1136/jech-2015-205701

Howell Major C and Savin-Baden M (2011) Integration of qualitative evidence: towards construction of academic knowledge in social science and professional fields *Qualitative Research* 11: 645-663.

Houghton C, Murphy K, Meehan B, et al. From screening to synthesis: using nvivo to enhance transparency in qualitative evidence synthesis. *J Clin Nurs*. 2017 Mar;26(5-6):873-881. doi: 10.1111/jocn.13443.

Juritzen TI, Soberg HL, Røe C, et al. The One or the Many: Quantified Subjectivity and Aggregated Uniqueness in Qualitative Rehabilitation Research. *Qual Health Res*. 2017 Jan;27(1):51-59. Epub 2016 Oct 16.

Miles M, & Huberman A. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis* (2nd ed.). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Mohammed, M. A., Moles, R. J., & Chen, T. F. (2016). Meta-synthesis of qualitative research: the challenges and opportunities. *Int J Clin Pharm*. doi:10.1007/s11096-016-0289-2

Newton BJ, Rothlingova Z, Gutteridge R, et al. No room for reflexivity? Critical reflections following a systematic review of qualitative research. *J Health Psychol*. 2012 Sep;17(6):866-85. doi: 10.1177/1359105311427615.

Nye, E., Melendez-Torres, G. J., & Bonell, C. (2016). Origins, methods and advances in qualitative meta-synthesis. *Rev Educ*, 4(1), 57–79. doi:10.1002/rev3.3065

Oliver S et al (2008). A multidimensional conceptual framework for analysing public involvement in health services research. *Health Expectations* 11: 72-84.

Oliver S et al. (2004). Involving consumers in the research and development agenda setting for the NHS: developing an evidence-based approach. *Health Technology Assessment* 8(15).

Ritchie J, Spencer L (1994). Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: Bryman A, Burgess RG, eds. *Analysing qualitative data*. London: Routledge: 173-94

Thomas J, Harden A, Newman M (2012) Synthesis: Combining results systematically and appropriately. In Gough, D, Oliver, S, Thomas, J (2012) *An Introduction to Systematic Reviews*. London: Sage Publications Ltd, pages 179-227. ISBN: 9781849201810.

Integration of Qual/Quan

Anderson, L. M., Oliver, S. R., Michie, S., et al. (2013). Investigating complexity in systematic reviews of interventions by using a spectrum of methods. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 66(11), 1223-1229.

Candy B, King M, Jones L, Oliver S. Using qualitative synthesis to explore heterogeneity of complex interventions. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2011 Aug 26;11:124.

Grootel, L., Wesel, F., O'Mara-Eves, A., et al. (2017). Using the realist perspective to link theory from qualitative evidence synthesis to quantitative studies: Broadening the matrix approach. *Research Synthesis Methods*.

Harden A, Thomas J, Cargo M, et al, Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Guidance Paper 4: Methods for integrating qualitative and implementation evidence within intervention effectiveness reviews, *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.11.029.

Heyvaert, M., Maes, B., & Onghena, P. (2013). Mixed methods research synthesis: definition, framework, and potential. *Quality & Quantity*, 47(2), 659-676.

Huntley, A. L., King, A. J. L., Moore, T. H. M., Paterson, C., Persad, R., Sharp, D., & Evans, M. (2016).

Methodological exemplar of integrating quantitative and qualitative evidence - supportive care for men with prostate cancer: what are the most important components? *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 73 (1):5-20.

doi:10.1111/jan.13082

Kavanagh, J., Campbell, F., Harden, A. and Thomas, J. (2011) Mixed Methods Synthesis: A Worked Example, in *Synthesizing Qualitative Research: Choosing the Right Approach* (eds K. Hannes and C. Lockwood), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK. doi: 10.1002/9781119959847.ch6

Leeman J et al (2011). A Mixed-Methods Approach to Synthesizing Evidence on Mediators of Intervention Effects *West J Nurs Res* 33: 870-900.

Maudsley G. Mixing it but not mixed-up: mixed methods research in medical education (a critical narrative review). *Med Teach*. 2011; 33(2):e92-104.

Pedersen VH, Dagenais P, Lehoux P. (2011) Multi-source synthesis of data to inform health policy. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, 27(3):238-46.

Petticrew, M., Rehfuess, E., Noyes, J., Higgins, J., Mayhew, A., Pantoja, T., ... & Sowden, A. (2013).

Synthesizing evidence on complex interventions: how meta-analytical, qualitative, and mixed-method approaches can contribute. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 66(11), 1230-1243.

Pigott, T., & Shepperd, S. (2013). Identifying, documenting, and examining heterogeneity in systematic reviews of complex interventions. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 66(11), 1244-1250.

Pluye, P., & Hong, Q. N. (2014). Combining the power of stories and the power of numbers: mixed methods research and mixed studies reviews. *Public Health*, 35(1), 29.

Ring, N., Jepson, R., Pinnock, H., Wilson, C., Hoskins, G., Wyke, S., & Sheikh, A. (2012). Developing novel evidence-based interventions to promote asthma action plan use: a cross-study synthesis of evidence from randomised controlled trials and qualitative studies. *Trials*, 13(1), 216.

Sandelowski M et al (2011). Mapping the Mixed Methods–Mixed Research Synthesis Terrain *Journal of Mixed Methods Research* 6(4), 317-331 doi:10.1177/1558689811427913

Tricco AC, Antony J, Soobiah C, Kastner M, MacDonald H, Cogo E, Lillie E, Tran J, Straus SE. Knowledge synthesis methods for integrating qualitative and quantitative data: a scoping review reveals poor operationalization of the methodological steps. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016 May;73:29-35. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.12.011. Epub 2016 Feb 15. Review.

van Grootel L, van Wesel F, O'Mara-Eves A, Thomas J, Hox J, Boeije H. Using the realist perspective to link theory from qualitative evidence synthesis to quantitative studies: Broadening the matrix approach. *Res Synth Methods*. 2017 Apr 21. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1241. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 28429447.

Voils CI, Crandell JL, Chang Y, Leeman J, Sandelowski M. Combining adjusted and unadjusted findings in mixed research synthesis. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2011 Jun;17(3):429-34.

Reporting and Recommendations

Booth A. "Brimful of STARLITE": toward standards for reporting literature searches. *J Med Libr Assoc.* 2006 Oct;94(4):421-9, e205.

Colvin CJ, Garside R, Wainwright M, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Bohren MA, Carlsen B, Tunçalp Ö, Noyes J, Booth A, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 4: how to assess coherence. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Jan 25;13(Suppl 1):13. doi:10.1186/s13012-017-0691-8.

Finfgeld-Connett, D. Writing Up the Results. In *A Guide to Qualitative Meta-synthesis*, pp. 62-70. London: Routledge, 2018.

Flemming K, Booth A, Hannes K, Cargo M, Noyes J. Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group guidance series-paper 6: reporting guidelines for qualitative, implementation, and process evaluation evidence syntheses. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2018 May;97:79-85. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.10.022.

Glenton C, Carlsen B, Lewin S, Munthe-Kaas H, Colvin CJ, Tunçalp Ö, Bohren MA, Noyes J, Booth A, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 5: how to assess adequacy of data. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Jan 25;13(Suppl 1):14. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0692-7.

Hannes, K., Heyvaert, M., Slegers, K., et al. (2015). Exploring the Potential for a Consolidated Standard for Reporting Guidelines for Qualitative Research: An Argument Delphi Approach. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 14(4). doi:10.1177/1609406915611528

Lewin S, Bohren M, Rashidian A, Munthe-Kaas H, Glenton C, Colvin CJ, Garside R, Noyes J, Booth A, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 2: how to make an overall CERQual assessment of confidence and create a Summary of Qualitative Findings table. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Jan 25;13(Suppl 1):10. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0689-2.

Lewin S, Booth A, Glenton C, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings: introduction to the series. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Jan 25;13(Suppl 1):2. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0688-3.

Lewin, S., Glenton, C., Munthe-Kaas, H., Carlsen, B., Colvin, C. J., Gülmezoglu, M., ...Booth A, & Rashidian, A. (2015). Using qualitative evidence in decision making for health and social interventions: an approach to assess confidence in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses (GRADE-CERQual). *PLoS Med*, 12(10), e1001895.

Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. (2009) The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies That Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. *PLoS Med* 6(7): e1000100. <http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100>

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med* 6(6): e1000097.

Noyes J, Booth A, Lewin S, et al. Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings-paper 6: how to assess relevance of the data. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Jan 25;13 (Suppl 1):4. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0693-6.

Pearson, A., Jordan, Z., Lockwood, C., & Aromataris, E. (2015). Notions of quality and standards for qualitative research reporting. *International journal of nursing practice.* 21(5), 670-676

Popay J., Rogers A. & Williams G. (1998) Rationale and standards for the systematic review of qualitative literature in health services research. *Qualitative Health Research* 8(3), 341–351.

Tong, A., Flemming, K., McInnes, E., Oliver, S., & Craig, J. (2012). Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 12(1), 181.

Last Revised: 28th December 2017